

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,311	09/12/2003	Yasushi Yoda	4641-65672	7825
7590 07/22/2004			EXAM	INER
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204-2988			LAVINDER, JACK W	
			ART UNI T	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	······································
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/661,311	YODA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<i>a.</i>	Jack W. Lavinder	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. VED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	— · is action is non-final.	•				
		prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	5155					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	A	nary (PTO-413) Paper No/e)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		D 4 6 D W 4				

Application/Control Number: 10/661,311

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

The prior restriction requirement is being vacated in view of the telephone conversation with Mr. Stevens on 7/19/04. Mr. Stevens indicated that the applicant did not like responding to an election of species requirement without having the claims presented with the separate species (not required by the MPEP). After indicating that the prior office action correctly followed the rules and guidelines set out in the MPEP with regard to a species requirement, as a matter of customer service and the fact that the prior examiner has since left the office, the previous election requirement is being withdrawn in view of the following requirement, which outlines which claims go with the distinct species.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species	Figure(s)	Claim(s)
1	2 and 3	4-8, 13,14,18-26,36, 40,47-50
II	4	4-7,8,13,14,18,21,24,25,36,40,47-50
III	5	4-7,9-12,14,18,21,24,25,36, 40,47-50
IV	6	36, 40
V	7	27-30, 38,43,44
VI	8	31-35, 39,45,46
VII	9	3-6,36, 40,47-50

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 15-17,37,41,42 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder at telephone number 703-308-3421.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,311

Art Unit: 3683

7/20/04

Page 4

t Unit 3683